Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Paper No. 5

William J. Kolegraff Kyocera Wireless Corp. 10300 Campus Point Drive San Diego, CA 92121

COPY MAILED

APR 3 0 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Rajaram et al.

Application No. 09/927,131

Filed: August 10, 2001

Attorney Docket No. UTL 00104

DISMISSAL OF PETITION

UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3)

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3), filed February 2, 2004, to accept an unintentionally delayed claim for the benefit of priority based on the applications set forth in the concurrently filed amendment.

The petition is **dismissed**.

When an application is filed on or after November 29, 2000, benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121 and 365(c) must be made during the pendency of the application and within the later of four months from the actual filing date of the application or sixteen months from the filing date of the prior application. For the instant application, the above period of time ended on November 26, 2002. The priority claims at issue were not made by this date. However, petitioner has submitted a petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3).

37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) states,

If the reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 and paragraph (a)(2) of this section is presented in a nonprovisional application after the time period provided by paragraph (a)(2)(ii) of this section, the claim under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) for the benefit of a prior-filed copending nonprovisional application or international application designating the United States of America may be accepted if the reference identifying the prior-filed application by application number or international application number and international filing date was unintentionally delayed. A petition to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) for the benefit of a prior-filed application must be accompanied by:

The reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 and paragraph (a)(2) of this section to the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;

The surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and A statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under (iii) paragraph (a)(2)(ii) of this section and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

Petitioner has failed to satisfy (i) above. Specifically, a proper amendment adding the priority claims has not been filed. Rule 78 may not be used to incorporate by reference material which was not previously incorporated by reference. In this case, the amendment seeks to incorporate by reference several applications which were not incorporated by reference when the application was originally filed.

The petition cannot be granted until a proper amendment is filed. Accordingly, before the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) can be granted, a substitute amendment deleting the incorporation by reference statement, along with a renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3), is required.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Mail Stop Petition

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By facsimile: (703) 872-9306

Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

2011 South Clark Place

Customer Window

Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03

Arlington, VA 22202

If a request for reconsideration is filed, and a decision on the new petition is not received within three months, petitioner may wish to call the number below to check on the status of the renewed petition.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to Petitions Attorney Steven Brantley at (703) 306-5683.

Charles Pearson

Director

Office of Petitions